

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/220,691

Applicant(s)

TSUJI ET AL.

Examiner

Jon P. Weber, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1651

RECEIVED
OCT 22 2002
TECH CENTER 1600 2900

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jon P. Weber, Ph.D.

(3) Shogo Tanaka

(2) Derek Mason

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 October 2002

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed: none

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Will submit TD for '595, will argue In re Brat over '948, and if necessary a TD. Will argue the scope, hopefully with data. May use negative limitation

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required